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Abstract—This paper presents the design of a multilayered magnetorheological brake from modelling to prototyping and characterisation. A magnetostatic model intended to provide a specific magnetic flux density over a fluid gap regardless of the dimensions of the fluid surface is proposed. The ferromagnetic path and the coil are dimensioned in consequence. The model needs only three inputs to completely define the brake i.e. the number of fluid gaps, the inner radius of the smallest fluid gap and the fluid gap depth. The evaluation criteria are defined by the torque density, the controllability and the reactivity, described as a function of the dimensions and the number of fluid gaps. The model has been optimized to improve the torque density. The brake has 4 fluid gaps and has been built and characterized. The expected torque when the fluid reaches the desired induction is 3.4 Nm and the measured torque is 3.6 Nm. This represents a relative error of 5.5%. The brake has 60 mm diameter, 39 mm width with a hollow shaft of 12 mm diameter. When exploited up to complete saturation, the measured torque is 5.3 Nm with 19 W power consumption. The brake has a torque density of 48.1 kN/m², a maximum-to-minimum torque ratio of 176 with 50 ms electromechanical time constant.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rheological fluids have been successfully applied in a wide range of high fidelity actuators within the context of human-machine interfaces during the past two decades. Such devices provide fast response time, high force per volume ratio with low power requirements, making them particularly suitable for the design of embedded systems [18].

A rheological fluid consists of a suspension of micron-sized soft ferromagnetic or non-conducting particles dispersed in a carrying liquid (typically mineral oils, synthetic oils or water). Their rheological properties can be instantly, strongly and reversibly modified by the action of an electric field, in the case of an electrorheological fluid (ERF) [4], or by the action of a magnetic field in the case of a magnetorheological fluid (MRF) [13]. While ERF-based actuators typically need excitation voltages in the range of a kilovolt, MRF-based actuators need relatively low operating currents and voltages.

Designing an MRF actuator implies dealing with non-linearities introduced by the fluid behaviour and the magnetic saturation. Furthermore, a tradeoff can be observed between the measures of performance characterizing an MRF actuator. For human friendly robotics applications, the actuator can be evaluated with regards to the torque density, controllability and reactivity. Torque density $\rho$ is the ratio torque-to-volume in terms of N/m², the controllability $K$ is the maximum torque to minimum torque ratio, and the reactivity $\delta t$ is the torque-to-electric time constant ratio in terms of Nm/s measured for a step-type excitation.

Most of the available work dealing with the design and optimization of MRF actuators predominantly focusses on torque optimization. For instance, Karakoc et al. [7] present the design of an automotive MRF brake using a multiphysics optimization method to maximize the controllable torque. A cost function is defined including the braking torque and weight. In the same way, using finite element analysis, Park et al. [16] define cost functions to maximize the torque-to-weight ratio while keeping a minimal required braking torque. Gudmundsson et al. [5] present a multi-objective optimization method for a prosthetic knee brake in terms of controllable torque, including also the off-state torque and weight. They consider an optimization parameter as a constraint in the modelling, or as an additional objective function. Zhang et al. [24] focus on a finite element analysis of the magnetic circuit saturation of an MRF-based damper. Yang et al. [23] investigate the feasibility of an MRF valve considering the relation of the volume fraction to yield stress, response time of the coils and electric power losses. Nguyen et al. [14] present an optimized design of a motorcycle brake where the required braking torque, temperature, mass and geometric dimensions are defined as optimization constraints. In some approaches, the goal of the optimization is to increase the magnetic flux in the MRF as much as possible [1]. However, the necessary coil volume and power supply increase considerably if the fluid becomes saturated.

With regards to the considered evaluation criteria, a commercially available MRF-brake (RD-2078-01) from Lord Corporation [2] has 96.6 mm diameter, 35.7 mm width and generates from 0.4 Nm (off-state torque) to 4 Nm at 1 A. The response time is 10 ms, yielding a torque density of 12.5 kN/m², a controllability of 10, and a reactivity of 400 Nm/s. Liu et al. [11] discuss the conception of a single disc MRF brake able to provide 0.5 to 7 Nm at 2 A, or rather a torque density of 17.4 kN/m², and a dynamic range of 14. Guo and Liao [6] present the optimization of a clutch/brake system and obtain a torque density of 13.6 kN/m², and a reactivity ratio of 19.2 Nm/s. Senkal and Gurocak [22] present the design of a 10.9 Nm serpentine flux path-based brake with low friction sealing technique in order to reduce off-state torque. The authors present a $o$ torque density of 38.4 kN/m², a controllability of 109, and a reactivity of 181.2 Nm/s.

This paper presents the design of a high torque density MRF brake from analytical modelling to prototyping and characterisation. A magnetostatic model intended to deliver a desired magnetic flux density over the fluid surface is
developed. The model allows for an optimal design of the ferromagnetic path and the coil taking into consideration the magnetic saturation. It also defines the mentioned evaluation criteria as a function of the dimensions and the number of fluid gaps. The design requirements are: a desired torque of 3.2 Nm, an external radius inferior to 30 mm and a 6 mm radius hollow shaft. The paper is organized as follows. Section II presents a brief review of the MRF behaviour. The brake geometry and its respective magnetostatic model are subsequently presented and the evaluation criteria are defined. In Section III, the linear range of the analytical model is verified using finite element analysis. The results highlight that the evaluation criteria are interconnected and a compromise between torque density and controllability must be found. The optimized brake has been built and the experimental results are presented in Section IV. The final dimensions are 60 mm diameter, 39 mm width with a hollow shaft of 12 mm diameter. The torque varies from 0.03 Nm to 5.3 Nm and the brake consumes 19 W. This gives a torque density of 48.1 kN/m², a controllability of 176.6 and a reactivity ratio of 106 Nm/s.

II. ANALYTICAL MODELLING

In this section the analytical model based on the Bingham plastic formulation is developed. The model defines the evaluation criteria as a function of the dimensions and the number of fluid gaps.

A. Rheological Behaviour

Fig. 1 shows the rheological effect in an MR suspension and how this principle can be employed for the design of MRF-based actuators. The fluid is commonly confined between two magnetic poles. In the absence of a magnetic field, the particles of the MR suspension are homogeneously distributed in the carrier fluid and the suspension behaves as a Newtonian fluid Fig.1(a). When a magnetic field \( \vec{H} \) is applied, the particles are magnetized and undergo magnetic interaction forces Fig.1(b). Hence, they form chain-like structures or aggregates aligned roughly parallel to the applied field Fig.1(c). The chain structures create a mechanical resistance against the relative motion of the poles or against a fluid flow. This phenomenon is macroscopically perceived as an almost instantaneous alteration of the fluid’s apparent viscosity. When the magnetic poles are displaced, a resistive force appears on the poles passing through them, slowing or stopping their relative displacement Fig.1(d). This phenomenon can be exploited to the design of controllable brakes.

The Bingham model [10] is commonly used to describe the behaviour of MRFs as a function of the magnetic field \( H \). The fluid shear stress \( \tau(\dot{\gamma}, H) \) delivered by the fluid is considered as a contribution of both a field dependent yield stress \( \tau_y(H) \) and a viscous friction, which is dependent on the shear stress rate \( \dot{\gamma} \) and the fluid viscosity \( \eta \). The Bingham formulation gives:

\[
|\tau(\dot{\gamma}, H)| = \tau_y(H) + \eta |\dot{\gamma}| \quad \text{if } |\tau(\dot{\gamma}, H)| \geq \tau_y(H) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\gamma = 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \quad (2)
\]

By setting the magnetic field strength, the fluid can display a controllable yield stress.

B. Magnetostatic and Mechanical Modelling

The shear operating mode of an MRFs is commonly utilised in rotary brakes. These actuators commonly take the form of a disc or a cylindrical housing as illustrated in Fig. 2. The magnetic flux \( \Phi \) is applied orthogonally against the fluid shear. For disc brakes (Fig 2(a)), the fluid is confined in a thin volume placed perpendicular to the rotation axis while in the case of a cylindrical brake (Fig 2(b)), the fluid is in a cylindrical gap around the axis of rotation. The magnetic field is usually provided by coils. Thereby the resistive torque generated by the brake can be controlled by setting the coil current strength.

According to the Bingham model, an MRF brake displays a controllable torque \( T_b \), which is a function of the field dependent yield stress, and a viscous torque \( T_v \) proportional to the relative velocity of the poles. The total braking torque is \( T_b = T_b + T_v \).

In order to maximize the torque in a given volume, the association of many elementary discs or cylinders in parallel is an efficient way for achieving high levels of torque as presented in [5][15][17]. While in a multiple disc brake the relation between the number of discs and the torque is linear, the torque displayed by a multiple cylinder increases with the square of the radius of each cylinder.

A simplified structure scheme of the chosen brake geometry is presented in Fig. 3. The brake is composed of \( m \) fluid gaps.
The relationship between the magnetic field $H(t)$ and the fluid dependent yield stress $\tau_y(H)$ is typically denoted $\tau_y(H) = \alpha H(t)^\beta$ [9] where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are fluid constants. Before the saturation point of the fluid we consider $\beta = 1$. Using the Bingham plastic model integrated over each fluid surface combined to Equation 4, the total torque $T_b$ is:

$$T_b = \frac{NaN_e l}{\mu_{mr}} \sum \int r_k \tau(H, l) dS$$

(5)

With $\omega(t)$ the rotational velocity and $\eta$ the fluid viscosity. In equation 5, the first term corresponds to the field dependent torque $T_b$ and the second to the viscous torque $T_v$. The fluid gap length $L$ is taken into consideration in the magnetic reluctance. The controllable torque can be rewritten as a function of the number of fluid gaps $m$ and as a function of a desired magnetic flux density over the smallest fluid surface $S_1$ called $B_d$, as:

$$T_b = 2\pi r^2 L - \frac{\alpha}{\mu_{mr}} \left[ m + \frac{(m-1)(R-r)}{2r} \right] B_d$$

(6)

This equation suggests that the controllable torque increases with the number of fluid gaps. The viscous torque $T_v$ is obtained as the sum of the viscous torque of each cylinder and can be approximated by:

$$T_v = 2\pi \frac{\eta L r^3}{\nu} \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{e+g}{r} \right) (m-1) \right] \omega(t)$$

(7)

The viscous torque quadratically increases with the number of fluid gaps.

The required flux to obtain the desired magnetic flux density $B_d$ over the smallest fluid surface $S_1$ is $\Phi = B_d S_1$. This defines the magneto-motive force $N_i_{max}$, given by:

$$N_i_{max} = B_d S_1 \theta$$

(8)

where $i_{max}$ is the necessary current to achieve $B_d$ with $N$ wire turns. The magnetomotive force then defines the power supply $P$. The power can be obtained by Joule’s losses as $P = i_{max}^2 R_e$ where $R_e$ is the electric resistance of the coil. The resistance expressed as a function of the resistivity of the wire $\kappa$, the mean radius of the coil $r$ and the section of the wire $S_w$, is $R_e = 2\pi r \kappa N / S_w$. The section of the wire can be referred to the desired maximum current-to-surface ratio $\nu$ in term of $A/m^2$ so that $i_{max} = S_w \nu$.

Replacing the current and the resistivity of the wire in the Joule’s equation, the power can be rewritten as $P = 2\pi r \kappa \nu N_i_{max}$. Combining this equation with Equations 8 and 3, the power can be given as a function of the number of fluid gaps and the desired magnetic flux density on the smallest fluid by:

$$P = \frac{\kappa}{\mu_{mr}} \frac{r \nu^2}{e+g} \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{m(e+g)}{r} \right] B_d$$

(9)

The power consumption increases with the number of fluid gaps and is dependent on the fluid gap depth.

The electric time constant of the coil is a function of the magnetic reluctance [8]. Considering the coil as an LR circuit, the time constant is given by $\delta_t = L_{in} / R_e$ where $L_{in}$ is the
inductance of the coil calculated as $L_{\text{in}} = N^2/\mathcal{R}$. The electric time constant yields:

$$\delta t_e = \frac{N^2}{R_e \mathcal{R}} = \frac{B_d S_1}{2 \pi k_\nu \nu f_{\text{p}}} = \frac{2 r L}{\kappa \nu (R + r) B_d}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

According to this equation, as $R = r + (e + g)m$ the electric time constant decreases with the number of fluid gaps. Knowing the power supply and the magnetic flux, the other geometrical dimensions of the brake can now be calculated.

The coil volume can be expressed as $V_{\text{coil}} = 2 \pi r_b S_m N/\chi$ where $\chi$ is the coil fill rate or as a function of the power supply as $V_{\text{coil}} = P/\kappa \nu^2 \mathcal{R}$. Considering that the inner and the external radius of the coil are $r$ and $R$ respectively, the coil width $b$ can be computed as $b = P/\pi (R^2 - r^2) \nu^2 \kappa \chi$, or rather:

$$b = \frac{g(R - r)}{\mu_{mr} \nu \mathcal{R}(e + g)(R^2 - 3r^2)} \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{m(e + g)}{r} \right] B_d$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The magnetic path must be dimensioned in order to support the magnetic flux $\Phi = B_d S_1$. A minimal ferromagnetic path section is therefore necessary to avoid the saturation of the path. Consider $B_{\text{fer}}$ the maximal admissible magnetic flux density of the ferromagnetic path and $S_n$ the ferromagnetic section crossed by the flux. The flux conservation gives the relation $B_d S_1 = B_{\text{fer}} S_n$ under the assumption of no flux leakages.

The minimal external radius to obtain the necessary cross section width on the top of the coil $R_t - R$, then is $R_t = \sqrt{2 r L c_{\text{sat}} + R^2}$ whith $c_{\text{sat}} = B_d / B_{\text{fer}}$. The width $q$ of the right side of the coil is obtained as $q = L c_{\text{sat}}$. The ferromagnetic path over the fluid gaps must respect $p \leq r - \sqrt{r^2 - 2 L c_{\text{sat}}}$.

The total length of the brake is $L_t = z + b + L(1 + c_{\text{sat}})$ with $z$ the width of the lateral supports. The total volume is:

$$V = \pi [2 r L c_{\text{sat}} + R^2] [z + b + L(1 + c_{\text{sat}})]$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

From these equations the cost functions for optimizing the brake are described in the next section.

C. Evaluation Criteria

The actuator is designed to fit a haptic feedback device. Haptic devices are robotic systems that display force or tactile sense to human operators which recreates the interaction with a virtual or teleoperated environment [21]. These devices need actuators to cover a wide range of forces varying ideally from near to zero to near to infinite output impedance [19] [20]. An actuator adaptable for haptic devices should possess a high torque density, sufficient bandwidth, low output impedance, and high force display capability [3].

The cost functions for the optimization of the actuation system then deal with these three main requirements: the torque density, the controllability and reactivity.

The torque density symbolizes the maximization of the controllable torque for a given volume so that $\rho = T_h/V$ and is expressed in terms of N/m² as follows:

$$\rho = \frac{\alpha L_{\text{in}}}{\mu_{mr}} \frac{r L (2 R m + (m - 1) (R - r))}{2 \pi k_\nu \nu f_{\text{p}} (2 r L c_{\text{sat}} + R^2) [L (2 c_{\text{sat}} + 1) + b]} B_d$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

From this equation it can be concluded that $\rho$ increases with the number of fluid gaps and can be improved using gaps with relative large radius $r_b$ and small length $L$.

The controllability $K$ depends on the dimension of the brake as well as the seals and bearings and is defined as the achieved controllable torque $T_h$ at $B_d$ divided by the viscous torque coefficient $T_c/\omega(t)$. It describes the ability of the brake to vary from low to high output impedance and is computed as $K = T_h \omega(t)/T_c$:

$$K = \frac{\alpha g}{\mu_{mr} r} \left[ \frac{2 r + (m - 1) (e + g)}{2 r + 3 (m - 1) (e + g)} \right] B_d$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

This equation demonstrates that the controllability monotonically decreases with $m$. The controllable torque is expressed as a function of the desired induction and as a consequence, it is independent of the fluid gap depth. As the gap increases, the controllability increases too. However, the necessary power supply to achieve $B_d$ raises. Since the controllability as well as the power supply increased with $g$, it represents a tradeoff between the power and the viscous torque.

Reactivity deals with the rapidity of the actuator to deliver a desired torque and depends on the response time of the electromagnetic circuit $\delta t_c$ as well as the response time of the fluid. The mechanical response time of an MRF is typically inferior to a millisecond [25] and can be neglected compared to $\delta t_e$. Thus, the reactivity $\delta t_e$ is defined as the ratio controllable torque-to-electric time constant in terms of Nm/s, so that $\delta t = T_h/\delta t_e$, and is computed as:

$$\delta t = \frac{\pi \alpha V K}{\mu_{mr}} r (R - r) \left[ m + \frac{(m - 1) (R - r)}{2 r} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The reactivity increases with the number of fluid gaps. Besides, this equation highlights that a large coil radius improves the reactivity but also increases the power supply.

III. OPTIMIZATION AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS

The magnetostatic model has been implemented in Matlab and finite element analysis (FEA) using FEMM software 1 is used to strengthen the analytical approach.

The employed fluid is the MRF122EG from Lord Corporation. The ferromagnetic path is made of pure iron (Telar 57).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B_d$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>desired induction in the smallest fluid gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{fer}$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>maximal admissible induction of the path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{mr}$</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>H/m</td>
<td>fluid absolute permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{fer}$</td>
<td>$70%$</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>path absolute permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>$0.22$</td>
<td>Pa.m/A</td>
<td>fluid magnetic field to yield stress constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>$63 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>Pa.s</td>
<td>fluid viscosity coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>$10.10^6$</td>
<td>A/m²</td>
<td>coil wire current to surface ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>$17.10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$\Omega$/m</td>
<td>coil wire resistivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi$</td>
<td>$70%$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>coil fill rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Finite Element Method Magnetics: www.femm.info
Table I lists the parameters of the fluid, the ferromagnetic path and the coil adopted in the simulations. In the analytical model the absolute permeability of the fluid $\mu_{fr}$ and the field-to-yield stress constant $\alpha$ are assumed as constant. The permeability of the ferromagnetic path $\mu_{fer}$ is considered as infinite. The same parameters are used in the FEA except the B-H curves (magnetic flux density versus induced field) of the fluid and ferromagnetic material which are acquired from the datasheets of each material. In the same way, by contrast to the analytical model, in the FEA the fluid yield stress $\tau_y(H)$ is obtained as a non-linear function of the magnetic field.

The design specifications, the geometrical constraints and the optimisation variables used in the optimization algorithm are listed bellow.

**Design specifications:** The minimal required controllable braking torque is 3.2 Nm. In view of implementing the brake in a existing haptic device, a hollow shaft of 6 mm radius must be predicted and the external radius $R_e$ must be inferior to 30 mm. Due to manufacturing limitations and to avoid the demormation of the cylinder, the thickness of each cylinder is set to $e = 1$ mm. The emplacement of bearings and seal imposes $r - q \geq 13$ mm.

**Geometrical constraints:** In order to avoid saturation of the ferromagnetic path, the following geometric constraints must be respected. To avoid saturation on the top of the coil: $R_e \geq \sqrt{2rL_{sat} + R^2}$. On the adjacent section of the coil the minimal width is $q \geq c_{sat} L$. Finally, the ferromagnetic path under the gaps necessitates $p \leq r - \sqrt{r^2 - 2L_{sat}}$.

**Optimisation variables:** The following parameters are the model variables: the number of fluid gaps $m$, the inner radius $r$ of the smallest fluid gap, the fluid gap depth $g$, the length $L$ of each fluid gap, and the means radius of the coil $r_b$.

Two critical parameters for optimising the brake are the fluid gap depth $g$ and the number of fluid gaps $m$. The reactivity and the torque density are maximized when $m$ tends to infinite. However, in order to maximize the controllability, $m$ tends to zero. In the same way, the functions $\rho$, $K$, and $\delta t$ do not meet an optimal value as a function of $g$. Maximising the torque density necessitates the minimization of the fluid gap depth, while maximising the controllability implies a large fluid gap. Consequently, $g$ determines the compromise controllability versus power supply and controllability versus torque density. Hence, $g$ is choose to be set at 0.5 mm and optimisation will focus on the maximization of the torque density.

The optimization algorithm represented in Fig. 4 comprises two successive steps. In the first step, a solution with respect to the geometric specifications and constraints is obtained using a “simulated annealing algorithm” (SA). It consists of a random search algorithm which statistically guarantees the convergence of the model to the required torque $\hat{T}$ after a finite number of iterations. The SA minimizes the following function:

$$ f(T_h) = \left| \frac{T_h - \hat{T}}{\hat{T}} \right| $$

The results provided by the SA are used in the “sequential quadratic programming” (SQP) algorithm which searches for an optimal solution that maximises the torque density. The solution provided by the SA algorithm guarantees that the SQP does not converge to a local minimum.

In order to maximize the torque density, the SQP minimizes the function $g(\rho)$:

$$ g(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} $$

The SQP communicates with the FEA software in order to take into account all magnetic saturation and non-linearities. The magnetic field is monitored for 10 levels of current strength varying from 0 A to 1 A. Results were obtained for four fluid gaps. The smallest one has 22.5 mm radius, as a consequence the other have 24 mm, 25.5 mm and 27 mm respectively. The optimal fluid gap length is 7 mm.
The fluid gap depth and the length of the gaps have an important influence on the performance of the brake. Increasing the length improves the torque but also the necessary power supply and the dimensions of the magnetic path. An optimal fluid gap length that maximizes the torque density can be found. With regard to the fluid gap depth however, the evaluation criteria do not present a maximal value as presented in Fig. 5. A small change on these dimensions affects strongly the performance.

The design of the brake is shown in Fig. 6. The final external dimensions are 39 mm width, 30 mm radius with 6 mm hollow shaft radius. The rotary hollow shaft (1) is connected to the stationary ferromagnetic path (2) using two bearings (3). A bearing cap (4) constrains their motion along the axis. Around the shaft (1) is placed a thin rotary disc (5) which supports the rotary cylinders (7) of the fluid chamber. The rotary parts are (1) and (5). All but (2)(6)(7)(8) are non magnetic parts. By this means the magnetic flux is guided into the fluid gaps while minimizing flux leakages.

The brake is designed to function with a maximum required magnetic flux density $B_d$ over the smallest fluid surface $S_1$. Figure 7 shows the evolution of the mean induction value over $S_1$ as a function of the current. According to the analytical model, the desired induction is reached for a magnetomotive force of $Ni_{max}=232$ A.turn. It is achieved with 475 turns of wire and 0.49 A. According to the adopted current to surface ratio, this corresponds to the maximal current in nominal regime. Beyond this point, the fluid is expected to saturate. Therefore, this is the limit of validity of the analytical model. Using the FEA model, the desired induction is attained at $Ni_{max}=247$ A.turn or rather 475 turns of wire and 0.52 A. This represents an error of +6% compared to the analytical model (or 6% less torque for $Ni_{max}=232$).

Fig. 8 presents the simulation results using the FEA. The magnetic flux density $B$ across the ferromagnetic circuit for $Ni_{max}=247$ A.turn is show in Fig. 8(a). Both the fluid and the ferromagnetic path reach their saturation at the same magnetomotive force. Fig 8(b) presents the induction and the field over each fluid surface. The expected torque using the FEA combined to the Bingham model is 3.2 Nm, which corresponds to the reference torque used in the optimization. Using the analytical model, the estimated torque is 3.4 Nm. The largest surface reaches its saturation at 0.9 A.

The optimal value obtained using the optimization algorithm are listed in Table II. Using these parameters, the brake has been built and characterized. The experimental results are presented in the next section.

### IV. Experimental Results

The optimized brake has been built using aluminium for the non-magnetic parts and pure iron (Telar 57) for the magnetic path. The sealing of the fluid is ensured using low-friction radial shaft seals without spring compressors.

The test bench of Fig. 9 is used to characterise the brake. A Maxon motor RE-40 linked to a reduction stage of 50:1 that can apply 6 Nm is used to generate a velocity. The
Fig. 8. Simulation results using finite element analysis. In (a) the magnetic flux density in the ferromagnetic path. The ferromagnetic path and the fluid reach their saturation at the same magnetic flux. The evolution of the induction across the fluid gaps is shown in (b). The fluid is exploited up to the desired induction of 0.7 T at 0.52 A.

Fig. 9. Experimental test bench. The brake is connected to an excitation motor Maxon RE-40 through a torque transducer Sensors Development 01324. The motor is connected to a reduction stage with a reduction ratio of 50:1 and can apply a torque of 6 Nm. The position of the shaft is measured using an incremental encoder with 500 ppr.

gearmotor is connected to the brake through a torque transducer Sensor Development (model 01324). The position of the shaft is measured using an incremental encoder with 500 ppr.

Fig. 10. Measured braking torque with its hysteresis loop. The expected torque and the measured torque when the fluid reaches the desired induction are 3.4 and 3.6 Nm respectively according to the analytical model. Using the FEA, the expected torque is 3.2 Nm and the measured torque is 3.8 Nm. The experimental results overreach theory by +5.5% and +15.7% compared to the analytical and to the FEA models respectively. When the largest fluid surface reaches \( B_d \), the torque is 5.3 Nm. The velocity varies from 0.9 to 0.5 turn/sec which corresponds to a viscous torque at zero field inferior to 4 mNm.

Fig. 11. Influence of the velocity on the braking torque. The brake is activated according to different current strengths varying from 0 A to 0.9 A. For each current, the velocity of the motor is gradually increased using the controller.

triangular form 0 A to 900 mA to 0 A according to slope of 1 mA/10ms. The measured torque at the desired induction of the fluid according to the analytical and finite element models at 0.49 A and 0.52 A are 3.6 Nm and 3.8 Nm respectively. When the largest surface reaches \( B_d \) (at 0.9 A) the measured torque is 5.3 Nm. The torque at zero field is 30 mNm.

As presented in the rheological model of Equation 1, the viscosity of the fluid generates an uncontrollable torque. In Fig. 11, the influence of the velocity on the braking torque is presented. The brake is activated with constant currents ranging from 0 A to 0.9 A with 0.15 A steps. The motor velocity is then gradually increased. Using Equation 7 the estimated viscous torque coefficient at zero field is \( T_v = 324 \mu \text{Nms} \). The measured viscous friction coefficient is \( T_v = 567 \mu \text{Nms} \). This represents an error in the estimation of the viscous torque coefficient of -59%. The influence of the viscous torque is negligible compared to the controllable torque.

The electric response of the coil is presented by its bode diagram in Fig. 12. The bode diagram is obtained using an excitation sinusoidal voltage of 12 V with a sweep frequency...
form 0.1 Hz to 30 kHz and by measuring the induced current with a shunt resistance of 1 Ω. The observed cut frequency at \( \pi/4r \) ad out of phase is 18 Hz. The observed electromagnetic bandwidth of the brake is given by a step time excitation voltage. According to Equation 10, the expected time constant is 51.7 ms. Considering that the response time is 48\( \delta_t \), this yields a theoretical response time of 204 ms. In Fig. 13 the mechanical response for a 5 V excitation voltage with a frequency of 1 Hz in open-loop is presented. The observed electromechanical response time is 200 ms. This result comprises the response time of the fluid.

A. Discussion

The torque calculated by the analytical model when the smallest fluid surface reaches the desired induction, corresponding to 0.49 A, is 3.4 Nm. For the same current, the measured torque is 3.6 Nm. This result overreaches the theory by +5.5%. After this point, the fluid is supposed to saturate and the analytical model is not valid. According to the FEA, the smallest fluid surface reaches \( B_d \) at 0.52 A and the expected torque is 3.2 Nm, which corresponds to the desired torque in the optimization algorithm. The measured torque at this current is 3.8 Nm. It represents a deviation of +15% compared to the FEA.

The deviation can be amounted to three main phenomena.

1) It has been shown in Fig. 5 that the fluid gap depth has a strong influence on the power supply. A variation of 10% of the gap depth implies a change of 11% in the power. A small variation of the fluid gap strongly alters the necessary magnetomotive force \( N_{l,m} \) to achieve \( B_d \). Therefore, due to the dimensional tolerance of the cylinders as well as assembly imprecisions, the reluctance may be lower than expected. For example, an imprecision in the positioning of the cylinders, that increases the fluid gap length of 0.1 mm, leads a decrease of 1.27% on the total reluctance which results in 1.42% more torque.

2) The analytical model assumes a homogeneous magnetic field over a fluid gap. However, the FEA presented in Fig. 8(b) highlights that the magnetic flux density is not constant and is stronger in the vicinity of the coil as compared to elsewhere. As a consequence, the fluid reacts with different yield stress in different locations within the same fluid gap which contributes to the observed deviation.

3) Up to the desired induction, the relation between the yield stress and the magnetic field \( \tau_y(H) = \alpha(H)^{\beta} \) is assumed as linear (\( \beta = 1 \)). This imprecision contributes to the observed deviation since for most MR fluids the index \( \beta \) is comprised between 1 and 2 before saturation. In the same way, when the largest fluid surface reaches \( B_d \) at 0.9 A, the torque calculated by the FEA is 4.14 Nm. Compared to the measured torque, a deviation of 47% is observed. The deviation between the FEA and the measured torque increases when the theoretical saturation point of the fluid is exceeded. In the proposed magnetostatic model, the torque as well as the power supply are strongly dependent on the permeability of the fluid as shown in Equations 6 and 9 respectively. Therefore, this deviation may be due to imprecisions in the estimation of the permeability of the fluid beyond the saturation point as well as the estimation of field dependent yield stress as a function of the applied field. The estimated yield stress \( \tau_y(H) \) is given by the fluid’s manufacturer as guidelines only.

V. Conclusion

In this paper a new magnetostatic approach to design an MRF brake is proposed. The magnetic circuit is dimensioned to deliver a desired magnetic flux density over the smallest fluid surface. This enables the brake to maintain the fluid under its saturation point in order to guarantee a linear current-torque relationship and to minimize the power supply. In addition, considering a maximal admissible induction attributed on the ferromagnetic path, the circuit is designed so that the fluid and the path reach their saturation for the same magnetic flux. By this means the volume of the path can be optimized. The brake is shown in Fig. 14.

The considered evaluation criteria are the torque density, the controllability and the reactivity, as a function of the number of fluid gaps and the shearing surface dimensions.
The analytical model highlights that the torque density and the reactivity increase with the number of fluid gaps, while the controllability is inversely depended to it. It can be concluded that the torque density can be improved using gaps with relative large radius compared to the length. In particular, the fluid gap depth plays an important role with regards to the controllability/torque density and controllability/power supply tradeoffs. These compromises have been established by setting the fluid gap to 0.5 mm and by focusing on the optimization of the torque density.

The optimization algorithm uses both a simulated annealing algorithm (SA) quential quadratic programming algorithm (SQP). The SA looks for a geometrical solution and guarantees a minimal torque of 3.2 Nm. This algorithm is necessary to avoid the convergence to a local minimum of the second algorithm. Subsequently, the SQP maximizes the torque density using this equation as cost function. When exploited up to its complete saturation, the brake can generate 5.3 Nm with 19 W power consumption. This gives a torque density of 48.1 kN/m², a maximum-to-minimum torque ratio, taking into consideration the coulomb friction, of 176 and a torque-to-time constant of 106 kNm/s.

Table III compares the design specifications of the proposed brake to a commercially available brake and some of brakes available in the literature. The designed brake provides 23% more torque for a volume 76% inferior capared to the commercial brake but the reactivity is 4 times inferior.

The developed magnetostatic model needs only three inputs to completely define the brake: the number of fluid gaps, the inner radius of the smallest fluid gap and the fluid gap depth. It is designed to provide a desired induction on the fluid gap regardless of the dimensions of the brake. By these means the saturation can be avoided and the behaviour remains in linear range, which sets the limit of the validity of the model. All other dimensions can be calculated accordingly in order to accurately guide the magnetic flux into the gaps without saturation.

The relatively low differences observed between the model and the experimental results in the linear range indicates that this approach is sufficient to design a multiple cylinder MRF brake. Moreover, the high controllability and torque capability make the actuator amply adaptable for high transparency human-machine interfaces design.

### Table III

**SPECIFICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED BRAKE AND COMPARISON TO OTHER DOCUMENTED RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max. Torque [Nm]</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-state [mNm]</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length [mm]</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius [mm]</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power [W]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Const [ms]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque/Vol [kN/m²]</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency [mNm/W]</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controll.</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactivity [kNm/s]</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 14.** View of the compact 5.3 Nm MRF brake. The actuator possesses a torque density of 48.1 kN/m² and a maximal to minimal torque ratio of 176 with 50 ms time constant.
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